



Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College for Women, Akola

Near Nehru Park, Murtizapur Road, AKOLA - 444 001 (M.S.)

Phone: - (0724) 2450905, 2458748, Fax: - (0724) 2450905

E-mail: - info@rdgakola.ac.in Website: - www.rdgakola.ac.in

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT

Academic Year - 2016-17

On

**Stakeholders Feedback Analysis Report
on Teacher and Curriculum**

- Submitted by -

Feedback Committee

Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College for Women, Akola


CONTENTS

Sr. No.	Title	Page No.
1.	Feedback Committee	1
2.	Blank feedback forms for different Stakeholders	2 to 5
3.	Feedback action taken & analysis report 2016-17	6 to 7
4.	Overall analysis of Stakeholders feedback on Curriculum by Students	8 to 9
5.	Overall analysis of Stakeholders feedback on Curriculum by Teachers	10 to 11
6.	Overall analysis of Stakeholders feedback on Curriculum by Alumni	12 to 13
7.	Overall analysis of Stakeholders feedback on Teachers, Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure by Students	14 to 60
8.	Stakeholder's feedback filled forms (Each three) i) Students ii) Teachers iii) Alumni	61 to 72

Feedback Committee 2016-17

Sr. No.	Name	Particulars
1.	Mrs. Harshada Wadhone	Coordinator
2.	Mrs. Purnima Ingle	Coordinator
3.	Mrs. Kiran Muley	Coordinator
4.	Dr. Anjali Rajwade	Member
5.	Dr. Ujwala Bajpai	Member
6.	Mr. Anil Nimbalkar	Member




PRINCIPAL
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
For Women, AKOLA.

BLANK FEEDBACK FORMS FOR DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Student's Feedback

Year: _____

A - Feedback for Teachers by the Students

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Subject Name: _____

Teacher's Name: _____

1. Does the teacher engage his/her Lectures regularly?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

2. Does the teacher come on time for the lecture?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

3. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

Thoroughly Satisfactorily Poorly Indifferently

4. How do you find the communication skills of the teacher?

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Does the teacher complete the syllabus in time?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

6. Does the teacher support you to solve your academic and other problems?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

7. Does the teacher use ICT Tools (such as LCD projector, multimedia etc) for teaching?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

8. Is the teacher fair and transparent in internal assessment?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

9. Does the teacher inform you about your expected competencies, course outcome and program outcomes?

Regularly Usually Occasionally Rarely

10. The teacher encourages you to participate in extra-curricular activities?

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree

B - Feedback for Library by the Students

11. The number of books available for issue?
 More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate
12. The number of magazine, Journals & newspaper available for reading?
 More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate
13. The availability of previous examination paper's
 More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate
14. Are the timing for the access to suitable?
 Highly suitable Suitable Moderately Suitable Not suitable

Feedback for Curriculum by the Students

15. How do you find your syllabus (Course Content)?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
16. Do you think you can build a good career with the help of this your syllabus?
 Surely May be Somewhat Not at all
17. Do you think you have enough practical hours / tutorials per week?
 Yes No Need more Not sure

Feedback for Infrastructure by the Students

18. How do you rate your classrooms and Laboratories ?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
19. How do you rate the drinking water and canteen facility on the campus?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
20. How do you rate the toilets?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
21. How is the cleanliness and maintenance of the college campus?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
22. How do you rate the computer and internet facility in the college?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
23. How do you rate the Xerox facility available in the college?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
24. How do you rate the college website?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor
25. How do you rate the sports facility available in the college?
 Excellent Very Good Average Poor

Suggestions for further improvements: -

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Teacher's Feedback

Year : _____

Feedback for Curriculum by the Teacher

Name of the Teacher: _____

Course Name: _____

Name of the Subject: _____

1. Were objective of course clear to you?

Highly Clear Clear Partly Clear Not Clear

2. How is the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

3. Level of the course is?

To high high low to low

4. Syllabus is Need based?

Strongly Agree agree Partly Agree disagree

5. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

6. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

7. Do you think there are enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. How do read sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

9. How is the syllabus comparable to that of other institute /university?

Superior At Par inferior cannot say

Suggestions for further improvements:

Signature

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Alumni Feedback

Year : _____

Feedback for Curriculum by the Alumni

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Full Name: _____

Program Name & Year of Completion: _____

Occupation: _____

Email: _____

Contact No.: _____

1. How do you rate the current syllabus in relation to the needs of your profession/ industry?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

2. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

3. How was the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

4. Level of the course was?

To high high low To low

5. Was the Syllabus need based?

Strongly Agree Agree Partly Agree disagree

6. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences for job?

Excellent Good average Poor

7. Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. Do you think the syllabus was suitable to acquire the overall knowledge of the subject?

Suitable Partly Suitable Need More Not Sure


Suggestions for further improvements: _____

**SMT. RADHADEVI GOENKA COLLEGE FOR WOMEN
AKOLA (MS) – 444001**

Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)

**Action Taken Report of Feedback Analysis
Session - 2016-17**

Feedbacks were obtained from Students, Teachers and Alumni on Curriculum, Teacher, Infrastructure and Library. These feedbacks were collected by Feedback Committee and analyzed and reviewed by the IQAC and Feedback Committee. Suggestions were given to the concerns regarding their performance and the directions for the required improvement. Report of Feedback Analysis is enclosed herewith.


CO-ORDINATOR
Coordinator, IQAC
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
for Women, Akola
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
for Women, Akola

Feedback Action Taken & Analysis Report 2016-17

Students' Feedback is very important for our institution, because they are the chief stakeholders. Annual activity of obtaining relevant data was carried out. A representative random sample of 20 students of the final year in each department was selected, for the purpose of achieving appropriate outcome of students' feedback. The questionnaire was framed to include feedback about each teacher, library, curriculum & infrastructure. 25 multiple choice questions (MCQs) were framed with 4 option each. Names of the students were kept optional for them in order to eliminate fear / inhibition factor (if any) among the students. Systematic tabulation of data as done department wise & analysis was carried out.

Students were satisfied with the regularity & punctuality of 96 % of the teachers for the lectures. Teachers prepared for the classes & communicated very effectively with the students. All of the teachers use ICT Tools for the teaching & attended to academic & their problems of students satisfactorily. Majority of students had no problem with the quality of internal assessment process. There is very good teacher – student relationship & rapport due to attention of teachers towards students' competencies & future career. Students are satisfied with the encouragements given to them by teachers for extracurricular activities.

Students' satisfaction about the library is quite good, though some of them wanted to have more time for the book access. 80% students opined those sufficient books, magazines etc. are available in the library. Curriculum is considered to be very good by majority of the students. Infrastructure of the institution is very good. 100 % students have had enough practicals / tutorials per week. There appears to be need for improvement in computer, internet & xerox facilities since 96% students considered them to be average. Sports facilities in the college were considered as average by most of the students & hence need to be upgraded & expanded. Website of the institution was rated as good, by most of the students.

To sum up, students' feedback for the session 2016-17 is very good, with scope for more student-oriented improvements in tune with changing times & needs, in near future.

The report for 2016-17 has been prepared by coordinated work of the members of Students' Feedback Committee.



(Mrs. Harshada Wadhone)

Coordinator
Students' Feedback Committee
2016-17

Date: 13-04-2017



PRINCIPAL
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
For Women, AKOLA.



Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College for Women, Akola

Near Nehru Park, Murtizapur Road, AKOLA - 444 001 (M.S.)

Phone :- (0724) 2450905, 2458748, Fax :- (0724) 2450905


E-mail :- info@rdgakola.ac.in Website :- www.rdgakola.ac.in

Students Feedback Analysis on the Curriculum 2016-17

Question wise feedback analysis on curriculum has been collected from **B.A. (Final) - 246, B. Com. (Final) -166 & B.Sc. (Home Science) Final - 31 Total = 443** Students during the academic year 2016-2017.


Q. 15. How do you find your syllabus (Course Contents)?

On 4 points scale	Percentage of response
Excellent	69.30 %
Very Good	23.25. %
Average	7.22 %
Poor	0.22%




Q. 16. Do you think you can build a good career with the help of this syllabus?

On 4 points scale	Percentage of response
Surely	73.36 %
May be	19.18 %
Somewhat	7.44 %
Not at all	0 %




Q. 17. Do you think you have enough hours / tutorials per week?

On 4 points scale	Percentage of response
Yes	90.51 %
No	8.80 %
Need more	0.43 %
Not sure	0.22 %



Observation: -

1. 69.30% students are given *Excellent*, 23.25% are given *Very Good*, 7.22% are given *Average* and 0.22 states that *Poor* remark for question no. 15.
2. 73.36% students are given *Surely*, 19.18% are given *May Be*, and 7.44% states that *Somewhat* remark for question no. 16.
3. 90.51% students are given *Yes*, 8.80% are given *No*, 0.43% are given *Need More* and 0.22 states that *Not Sure* remark for question no. 17.


IOAC Co-ordinator
 Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
 Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
 for Women, Akola

Teachers Feedback Analysis on curriculum for the academic year-2016-2017

Feedback on curriculum was collected from 44 out of 47 teachers for the academic year 2016-2017 was reviewed by the IQAC in its meeting held on 15th April 2017 and presented the analysis report for detailed discussion of Governing Body.

Sr. No.	Teachers Feedback Questions	In Percentage				Total
		4 Points Scale Rating				
1.	Were objective of course clear to you?	Highly Clear	Clear	Partly Clear	Not Clear	
		65.90%	27.27%	6.81%	0.00	100%
2.	How is the syllabus suitable to the course?	Highly Suitable	Suitable	Partly Suitable	Not Suitable	
		70.45%	27.27%	2.27	0.00	100%
3.	Level of the course is?	Too High	High	Low	Too Low	
		75%	20.45%	4.54%	0.00	100%
4.	Syllabus is Need based?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Partly Agree	Disagree	
		77.27%	20.45%	2.27%	0.00	100%
5.	How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	
		63.63%	31.81%	4.54%	0.00	100%
6.	How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?	Vast	Manageable	Short	Too Short	
		68.18%	31.81%	0.00	0.00	100%
7.	Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?	Yes	No	Need More	Not Sure	
		61.36%	36.36%	2.27%	0.00	100%
8.	How do read sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	
		79.54%	15.90%	4.54%	0.00	100%
9.	How is the syllabus comparable to that of other institute / university?	Superior	At Par	Inferior	Cannot say	
		72.72%	22.72%	4.54%	0.00	100%

Observations:

- 1) The objectives of the course are *Highly Clear* to 65.90% teachers, are *Clear* to 27.27% teachers & are *Partly Clear* to 6.81% teachers.
- 2) 70.45% teachers say syllabus is *Highly Suitable*, 27.27% says *Suitable* & 2.27 Says *Partly Suitable* to the course.
- 3) 75% teachers say level of the course is *Too High*, 20.45% say *High* & 4.54% say *Low*.
- 4) 77.27% teachers *Strongly Agree* syllabus is need based, 20.45% *Agree* & 2.27% *Partly Agree*.
- 5) 63.63% teachers rated *Excellent* about the syllabus in relation to the competences, 31.81% rated *Good* & 4.54% teachers rated *Average*.
- 6) 68.18% teacher say syllabus is *Vast* & 31.81% say *Manageable*.
- 7) 61.36% teachers say *Yes* enough practical hours/tutorial peer week, 36.36% says *No* & 2.27% says *Need More*.
- 8) 79.54% teachers rated *Excellent* about the sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course, 15.90% rated *Good* & 4.54% rated *Average*.
- 9) 72.72% teachers say the syllabus comparable is *Superior* to other institute / university, 22.72% says *At par* & 4.54 say *Inferior*.


CO-ORDINATOR
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
for Women, Akola

Alumni Feedback Analysis on curriculum for the academic year-2016-2017

Alumni feedback on curriculum is gathered from 231 Alumni of different batches a summary of their responses on curriculum aspects for the academic year 2016-2017 is presented.

Sr. No.	Alumni Feedback Questions	In Percentage				Total
		4 Points Scale Rating				
1.	How do you rate the current syllabus in relation to the needs of your profession/ industry?	Excellent	Very Good	Average	Poor	
		60.60%	30.73%	8.65%	0.00%	100%
2.	How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?	Vast	Manageable	Short	Too Short	
		52.81%	39.39%	7.79%	0.00	100%
3.	How was the syllabus suitable to the course?	Highly Suitable	Suitable	Partly Suitable	Not Suitable	
		61.90%	35.06%	3.03%	0.00	100%
4.	Level of the course was?	Too High	High	Low	Too Low	
		71.86%	17.31%	10.82	0.00	100%
5.	Was the Syllabus need based?	Strongly Agree	Agree	Partly Agree	Disagree	
		66.23%	22.07%	11.68%	0.00	100%
6.	How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences for job?	Excellent	Good	Average	Poor	
		57.14%	37.66%	5.19%	0.00	100%
7.	Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?	Yes	No	Need More	Not Sure	
		67.96%	22.51%	9.52%	0.00	100%
8.	Do you think the syllabus was suitable to acquire the overall knowledge of the subject?	Suitable	Partly Suitable	Need More	Not Sure	
		70.56%	20.34%	9.09	0.00	100%

Observations:

- 1) 60.60% Alumnae rated *Excellent*; 30.73% *Very Good* & 8.65% rated *Average* according to current syllabus in relation to the needs of profession / industry.
- 2) 52.81% Alumnae say syllabus is *Vast*, 39.39% *Manageable* & 7.79% says *Short*.
- 3) 61.90% Alumnae say syllabus was *Highly Suitable*, 35.06% says *Suitable* & 3.3% says *Partly Suitable* to the course.
- 4) 71.86% Alumnae say level of the course was *Too high* & 17.31% say *High* & 10.82 say *Low*.
- 5) 66.23% Alumnae *Strongly Agree*, 22.07% *Agree* & 11.68% *Partly Agree* syllabus was need based.
- 6) The syllabus in relation to the competences for job 57.14% Alumnae rated *Excellent*, 37.66% *Good* & 5.19% rated *Average*.
- 7) 67.96% Alumnae say *Yes*, 22.51% says *No* & 9.52% says *Need More*.
- 8) 70.56% Alumnae say *Suitable*, 20.34% *Partly Suitable* & 9.09% says *Need more*.


IQAC CO-ORDINATOR
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
for Women, Akola

Students' Feedback Analysis Report

2016-17

Arts Faculty

- English**
- Marathi**
- Hindi**
- Sanskrit**
- Economics**
- History**
- Political Science**
- Sociology**
- Philosophy**
- Home Economics**
- Music**

Smt. RDG College for Women, Akola

Students' Feedback					
Department of English					
1 - About Teacher					
Name of Teacher – Dr. S.A. Bang					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	15	2	3	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	14	4	2	0	20
7	6	8	6	0	20
8	16	0	4	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	17	3	0	0	20
Total	152	29	19	-	200
Grade Analysis	76%	14.5%	9.5%	-	
Name of Teacher – Dr. R. S. Nitaware					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	14	6	0	0	20
2	12	4	4	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	1	3	0	20

6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	20	0	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	146	37	17	-	200
Grade Analysis	73%	18.5%	8.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. U. P. Patil

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	18	2	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	12	4	4	0	20
7	2	9	9	0	20
8	18	2	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	148	36	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	74%	18%	8%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. L.G. Bhatti

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20

3	16	4	0	0	20
4	18	2	2	0	20
5	18	0	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	3	9	8	0	20
8	18	0	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	157	27	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	78.5%	13.5%	8%	-	
Name of Teacher – Mr. S. T. Vite					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	16	4	0	0	20
4	18	2	2	0	20
5	18	0	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	3	9	8	0	20
8	18	0	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	157	27	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	78.5%	13.5%	8%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	0	16	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	20	0	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	4	14	2	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	20	0	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	48	178	74	0	300
Grade Analysis	16	59.33%	24.67%	0	

Students' Feedback					
Department of Marathi					
1 - About Teacher					
Name of Teacher – Dr. V. K. Khandekar					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	148	31	19	-	200
	74%	15.5%	9.5%		
Name of Teacher – Dr. C. R. Rumale					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	1	1	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	150	29	21	-	200
Grade Analysis	75%	14.5%	10.5%		
Name of Teacher – Dr. S. V. Kamble					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	2		0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	4	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20

9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	148	33	17	-	200
Grade Analysis	74%	16.5%	8.5%		
2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	4	14	2	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	46	160	94	0	300
Grade Analysis	15.33%	53.33%	31.33%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Hindi

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Prof. B. S. Ingle

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	4	0	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	148	34	18	-	200
Grade Analysis	74%	17%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. N. S. Upadhyay

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20

3	18	2	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	18	2	0	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	18	2	0	0	20
9	14	4	2	0	20
10	18	2	0	0	20
Total	158	28	14	-	200
Grade Analysis	79%	14%	7%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20

19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Sanskrit

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Ms. P. S. Borkar

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	16	3	1	0	20
3	16	3	1	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	17	3	0	0	20
6	16	0	4	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	18	2	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	151	29	20	-	200
Grade Analysis	75.5%	14.5%	10%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Economics

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Dr. Y.P. Sing

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	14	6	0	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	0	4	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	18	2	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	150	30	20	-	200
Grade Analysis	75%	15%	10%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20

14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of History

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Dr. R. N. Mundre

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	17	2	1	0	20
5	16	3	1	0	20
6	16	0	4	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	17	2	1	0	20
9	17	2	1	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	151	27	22	-	200
Grade Analysis	75.5%	13.5%	11%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Political Science

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Dr. V. B. Khaire

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	148	31	19	-	200
Grade Analysis	74%	15.5%	9,5%		

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Sociology

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Prof. N. S. Manmothe

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	4	0	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	18	2	0	0	20
4	16	3	1	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	15	4	1	0	20
9	14	4	2	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	147	37	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	73.4%	18.5%	8%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Philosophy

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Mr. A. P. Shingade

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	17	2	1	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	149	31	18	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	15.5%	9%		

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	2	14	4	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	44	160	96	0	300
Grade Analysis	14.67%	53.33%	32%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Home Economics

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Mrs. K. M. Muley

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	18	2	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	152	33	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	76%	16.5%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. U. R. Bajpai

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20

3	18	2	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	18	1	1	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	156	29	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	78%	14.5%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. R. R. Sawjiyani

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	16	2	2	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	4	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20

10	18	2	0	0	20
Total	156	30	14	-	200
Grade Analysis	78%	15%	7%	-	
Name of Teacher – Dr. V. D. Dhruv					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	15	3	2	0	20
2	16	2	2	0	20
3	14	4	2	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	16	3	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	3	1	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	147	35	18	-	200
Grade Analysis	73.5%	17.5%	9%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	2	14	4	0	20

12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	16	4	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	4	14	2	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	46	160	94	0	300
Grade Analysis	15.33%	53.33%	31.33%	0	

Students' Feedback

Department of Music

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Mr. V.B Gadre

	A	B	C	D	Total
1	07	2	1	0	10
2	07	3	0	0	10
3	08	1	1	0	10
4	08	1	1	0	10
5	08	2	0	0	10
6	08	1	1	0	10
7	02	4	4	0	10
8	08	1	1	0	10
9	08	2	0	0	10
10	08	2	0	0	10
Total	72	19	9	-	
Grade Analysis	72%	19%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. N. L. Gondane

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	07	2	1	0	10
2	07	3	0	0	10
3	08	1	1	0	10

4	08	1	1	0	10
5	08	2	0	0	10
6	08	1	1	0	10
7	02	4	4	0	10
8	08	1	1	0	10
9	08	2	0	0	10
10	08	2	0	0	10
Total	72	19	9	-	
Grade Analysis	72%	19%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. D.V. Pande

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	8	1	1	0	10
2	8	1	1	0	10
3	8	1	1	0	10
4	8	1	1	0	10
5	8	0	2	0	10
6	6	2	2	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	8	2	0	0	10
9	7	2	1	0	10
10	7	2	1	0	10

Total	70	16	14	-	100
Grade Analysis	70%	16%	14%	-	
Name of Teacher – Dr. A. M. Ambhore					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	9	1	0	0	10
2	9	1	0	0	10
3	8	1	1	0	10
4	8	1	1	0	10
5	8	2	0	0	10
6	7	2	1	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	8	1	1	0	10
9	8	2	0	0	10
10	7	2	1	0	10
Total	74	17	9	-	
Grade Analysis	74%	17%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. P. R. Supalkar

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	9	1	0	0	10
2	9	1	0	0	10
3	8	1	1	0	10

4	8	1	1	0	10
5	8	2	0	0	10
6	7	2	1	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	8	1	1	0	10
9	8	2	0	0	10
10	7	2	1	0	10
Total	74	17	9	-	
Grade Analysis	74%	17%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher –Mr. V. S. Chapke

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	07	2	1	0	10
2	07	3	0	0	10
3	08	1	1	0	10
4	08	1	1	0	10
5	08	2	0	0	10
6	08	1	1	0	10
7	02	4	4	0	10
8	08	1	1	0	10
9	08	2	0	0	10
10	08	2	0	0	10

Total	72	19	9	-	
Grade Analysis	72%	19%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. A. P. Nimbalkar

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	10	0	0	0	10
2	10	0	0	0	10
3	7	3	0	0	10
4	9	1	0	0	10
5	10	0	0	0	10
6	8	1	1	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	10	0	0	0	10
9	8	2	0	0	10
10	8	2	0	0	10
Total	82	13	5	-	100
Grade Analysis	82%	13%	5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. S. B. Sagane

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	10	0	0	0	10
2	10	0	0	0	10
3	7	3	0	0	10

4	9	1	0	0	10
5	10	0	0	0	10
6	8	1	1	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	10	0	0	0	10
9	8	2	0	0	10
10	8	2	0	0	10
Total	82	13	5	-	100
Grade Analysis	82%	13%	5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. V. R. Alshi

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	10	0	0	0	10
2	10	0	0	0	10
3	7	3	0	0	10
4	9	1	0	0	10
5	10	0	0	0	10
6	8	1	1	0	10
7	2	4	4	0	10
8	10	0	0	0	10
9	8	2	0	0	10
10	8	2	0	0	10

Total	82	13	5	-	100
Grade Analysis	82%	13%	5%	-	
2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	0	7	3	0	10
12	0	10	0	0	10
13	0	1	9	0	10
14	1	7	2	0	10
15	0	7	3	0	10
16	1	7	2	0	10
17	10	0	0	0	10
18	0	7	3	0	10
19	2	7	1	0	10
20	8	2	0	0	10
21	2	7	1	0	10
22	1	3	6	0	10
23	0	0	10	0	10
24	0	10	0	0	10
25	0	2	8	0	10
Total	25	77	48	0	150
Grade Analysis	16.67	51.33	32	0	

Students' Feedback Analysis Report
2016-17

Commerce Faculty

Students' Feedback

Department of Commerce

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher – Mr. R.G. Pawar

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	12	6	2	0	20
2	12	6	2	0	20
3	18	2	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	18	2	0	0	20
6	14	4	2	0	20
7	6	8	6	0	20
8	18	1	1	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	18	2	0	0	20
Total	150	37	13	-	200
Grade Analysis	75%	17.5%	6.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. A.B. Pande

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	1	1	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20

3	16	2	2	0	20
4	16	2	0	0	20
5	18	2	0	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	154	29	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	78%	14.5%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mrs. H. S. Wadhone

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	18	2	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	12	4	4	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	4	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20

10	14	6	0	0	20
Total	144	38	18	-	200
Grade Analysis	72%	19%	9%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. V. B. Chavhan

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	2	2	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	16	4	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	15	2	3	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	18	2	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	149	34	17	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	17%	8.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. A. G. Sharma

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	4	0	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20

3	16	4	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	2	2	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	150	34	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	75%	17%	8%	-	

2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure

Question No.	A	B	C	D	Total
11	0	16	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	20	0	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20

19	4	14	2	0	20
20	14	6	0	0	20
21	4	14	2	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	48	158	94	0	300
Grade Analysis	16%	52.67%	31.33%	0	

Students' Feedback Analysis Report
2016-17

Home Science Faculty

Students' Feedback

Department of Home Science

1 - About Teacher

Name of Teacher –Mrs. P. K. Ingle

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	145	39	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	72.5%	19.5%	8%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mrs. N. A. Kulkarni

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20

4	16	2	2	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	145	39	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	72.5%	19.5%	8%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. K. R. Dhore

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	18	1	1	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20

Total	149	36	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	18%	7.5%	-	
Name of Teacher – Mrs. K. S. Jain					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	18	1	1	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	149	36	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	18%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher –Ms. Sonal Kame

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	18	1	1	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20

4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	4	0	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	150	35	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	75%	17.5%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher –Mrs. M. V. Kalne

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	18	2	0	0	20
2	18	1	1	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	16	4	0	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	15	4	1	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20

Total	149	35	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	17.5%	8%	-	
Name of Teacher – Mr. S. W. Wadhone					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	16	4	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20
4	16	2	2	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	145	39	16	-	200
Grade Analysis	72.5%	19.5%	8%	-	

Name of Teacher – Mr. S. M. Gadiya

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	3	1	0	20
2	18	2	0	0	20
3	14	6	0	0	20

4	18	1	1	0	20
5	15	4	1	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	16	2	2	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20
Total	149	36	15	-	200
Grade Analysis	74.5%	18%	7.5%	-	

Name of Teacher – Dr. A. A. Rajwade

QN	A	B	C	D	Total
1	16	4	0	0	20
2	20	0	0	0	20
3	18	2	0	0	20
4	18	2	0	0	20
5	18	2	0	0	20
6	16	2	2	0	20
7	4	8	8	0	20
8	18	2	0	0	20
9	16	4	0	0	20
10	16	4	0	0	20

Total	160	30	10	-	200
	80%	15%	5%		
2 –About Library, Curriculum & Infrastructure					
QN	A	B	C	D	Total
11	0	16	4	0	20
12	0	20	0	0	20
13	0	2	18	0	20
14	2	14	4	0	20
15	0	14	6	0	20
16	2	14	4	0	20
17	20	0	0	0	20
18	0	14	6	0	20
19	4	14	2	0	20
20	16	4	0	0	20
21	4	14	2	0	20
22	2	6	12	0	20
23	0	0	20	0	20
24	0	20	0	0	20
25	0	4	16	0	20
Total	50	156	94	0	300
Grade Analysis	16.66%	52%	31.33%		

Stakeholders Filled Feedback Forms

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Student's Feedback

Year: 2016-17

A - Feedback for Teachers by the Students

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Subject Name: History

Teacher's Name: Dr. R. S. Mundee

1. Does the teacher engage his/her Lectures regularly?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

2. Does the teacher come on time for the lecture?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

3. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

Thoroughly Satisfactorily Poorly Indifferently

4. How do you find the communication skills of the teacher?

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Does the teacher complete the syllabus in time?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

6. Does the teacher support you to solve your academic and other problems?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

7. Does the teacher use ICT Tools (such as LCD projector, multimedia etc) for teaching?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

8. Is the teacher fair and transparent in internal assessment?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

9. Does the teacher inform you about your expected competencies, course outcome and program outcomes?

Regularly Usually Occasionally Rarely

10. The teacher encourages you to participate in extra-curricular activities?

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree

B - Feedback for Library by the Students

11. The number of books available for issue?

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

12. The number of magazine, Journals & newspaper available for reading?

- More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

13. The availability of previous examination paper's

- More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

14. Are the timing for the access to suitable?

- Highly suitable Suitable Moderately Suitable Not suitable

Feedback for Curriculum by the Students

15. How do you find your syllabus (Course Content)?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

16. Do you think you can build a good career with the help of this your syllabus?

- Surely May be Somewhat Not at all

17. Do you think you have enough practical hours / tutorials per week?

- Yes No Need more Not sure

Feedback for Infrastructure by the Students

18. How do you rate your classrooms and Laboratories ?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

19. How do you rate the drinking water and canteen facility on the campus?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

20. How do you rate the toilets?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

21. How is the cleanliness and maintenance of the college campus?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

22. How do you rate the computer and internet facility in the college?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

23. How do you rate the Xerox facility available in the college?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

24. How do you rate the college website?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

25. How do you rate the sports facility available in the college?

- Excellent Very Good Average Poor

Suggestions for further improvements: -

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Student's Feedback

Year: 2016-17

A - Feedback for Teachers by the Students

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Subject Name: BMS

Teacher's Name: Dr. V. B. Chauhan

1. Does the teacher engage his/her Lectures regularly?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

2. Does the teacher come on time for the lecture?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

3. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?

Thoroughly Satisfactorily Poorly Indifferently

4. How do you find the communication skills of the teacher?

Excellent Very Good Good Average

5. Does the teacher complete the syllabus in time?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

6. Does the teacher support you to solve your academic and other problems?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

7. Does the teacher use ICT Tools (such as LCD projector, multimedia etc) for teaching?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

8. Is the teacher fair and transparent in internal assessment?

Always Mostly Sometimes Never

9. Does the teacher inform you about your expected competencies, course outcome and program outcomes?

Regularly Usually Occasionally Rarely

10. The teacher encourages you to participate in extra-curricular activities?

Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree

B - Feedback for Library by the Students

11. The number of books available for issue?

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

12. The number of magazine, Journals & newspaper available for reading?

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

13. The availability of previous examination paper's

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

14. Are the timing for the access to suitable?

Highly suitable Suitable Moderately Suitable Not suitable

Feedback for Curriculum by the Students

15. How do you find your syllabus (Course Content)?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

16. Do you think you can build a good career with the help of this your syllabus?

Surely May be Somewhat Not at all

17. Do you think you have enough practical hours / tutorials per week?

Yes No Need more Not sure

Feedback for Infrastructure by the Students

18. How do you rate your classrooms and Laboratories ?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

19. How do you rate the drinking water and canteen facility on the campus?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

20. How do you rate the toilets?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

21. How is the cleanliness and maintenance of the college campus?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

22. How do you rate the computer and internet facility in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

23. How do you rate the Xerox facility available in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

24. How do you rate the college website?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

25. How do you rate the sports facility available in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

Suggestions for further improvements: -

No suggestion

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Student's Feedback

Year: 2016-2017

A - Feedback for Teachers by the Students

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Subject Name: Food & Nutrition

Teacher's Name: Dr. A. A. Rajwade

1. Does the teacher engage his/her Lectures regularly?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
2. Does the teacher come on time for the lecture?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
3. How well did the teacher prepare for the classes?
 Thoroughly Satisfactorily Poorly Indifferently
4. How do you find the communication skills of the teacher?
 Excellent Very Good Good Average
5. Does the teacher complete the syllabus in time?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
6. Does the teacher support you to solve your academic and other problems?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
7. Does the teacher use ICT Tools (such as LCD projector, multimedia etc) for teaching?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
8. Is the teacher fair and transparent in internal assessment?
 Always Mostly Sometimes Never
9. Does the teacher inform you about your expected competencies, course outcome and program outcomes?
 Regularly Usually Occasionally Rarely
10. The teacher encourages you to participate in extra-curricular activities?
 Strongly agree Agree Neutral Disagree

B - Feedback for Library by the Students

11. The number of books available for issue?
 More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

12. The number of magazine, Journals & newspaper available for reading?

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

13. The availability of previous examination paper's

More than Sufficient Sufficient Just Sufficient In-adequate

14. Are the timing for the access to suitable?

Highly suitable Suitable Moderately Suitable Not suitable

Feedback for Curriculum by the Students

15. How do you find your syllabus (Course Content)?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

16. Do you think you can build a good career with the help of this your syllabus?

Surely May be Somewhat Not at all

17. Do you think you have enough practical hours / tutorials per week?

Yes No Need more Not sure

Feedback for Infrastructure by the Students

18. How do you rate your classrooms and Laboratories ?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

19. How do you rate the drinking water and canteen facility on the campus?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

20. How do you rate the toilets?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

21. How is the cleanliness and maintenance of the college campus?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

22. How do you rate the computer and internet facility in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

23. How do you rate the Xerox facility available in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

24. How do you rate the college website?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

25. How do you rate the sports facility available in the college?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

Suggestions for further improvements: -

Need of girls common room.

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Teacher's Feedback

Year : 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Teacher

Name of the Teacher: Dr. R.S. Nitnarsare
Course Name: B.A./B.Com.
Name of the Subject: English

1. Were objective of course clear to you?

Highly Clear Clear Partly Clear Not Clear

2. How is the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

3. Level of the course is?

To high high low to low

4. Syllabus is Need based?

Strongly Agree agree Partly Agree disagree

5. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

6. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

7. Do you think there are enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. How do read sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course? N.A.

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

9. How is the syllabus comparable to that of other institute /university?

Superior At Par inferior cannot say

Suggestions for further improvements:


Signature

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Teacher's Feedback

Year : 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Teacher

Name of the Teacher: DR. VINOD B. CHAVHAN

Course Name: B. COM.

Name of the Subject: BEC, JTB, BMS, CIA

1. Were objective of course clear to you?

Highly Clear Clear Partly Clear Not Clear

2. How is the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

3. Level of the course is?

To high high low to low

4. Syllabus is Need based?

Strongly Agree agree Partly Agree disagree

5. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

6. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

7. Do you think there are enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure


8. How do read sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

9. How is the syllabus comparable to that of other institute /university?

Superior At Par inferior cannot say

Suggestions for further improvements:


Signature

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Teacher's Feedback

Year : 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Teacher

Name of the Teacher: Dr. Radha R. Sawjiyani

Course Name: B.A. III

Name of the Subject: Home - Economics (Human - Development)

1. Were objective of course clear to you?

Highly Clear Clear Partly Clear Not Clear

2. How is the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

3. Level of the course is?

To high high low to low

4. Syllabus is Need based?

Strongly Agree agree Partly Agree disagree

5. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

6. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

7. Do you think there are enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. How do read sequence of the syllabus semester wise relevant to the course?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

9. How is the syllabus comparable to that of other institute /university?

Superior At Par inferior cannot say

Suggestions for further improvements:

Some contents should be added for skill development.

P. Sawjiyani
Signature

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Alumni Feedback

Year : 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Alumni

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Full Name: Ku. Kanchar Ghatol
Program Name & Year of Completion: B.A. III 2015-2016
Occupation: Private Job.
Email: -
Contact No.: 9371999113

1. How do you rate the current syllabus in relation to the needs of your profession/ industry?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

2. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

3. How was the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

4. Level of the course was?

To high high low To low

5. Was the Syllabus need based?

Strongly Agree Agree Partly Agree disagree

6. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences for job?

Excellent Good average Poor

7. Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. Do you think the syllabus was suitable to acquire the overall knowledge of the subject?

Suitable Partly Suitable Need More Not Sure

Suggestions for further improvements: -

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Alumni Feedback

Year : 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Alumni

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Full Name: Ky. Nikita Ramdas Palaskar
Program Name & Year of Completion: B.Com- 2016-2017
Occupation: _____
Email: _____
Contact No.: 7775929793

1. How do you rate the current syllabus in relation to the needs of your profession/ industry?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

2. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short To short

3. How was the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

4. Level of the course was?

To high high low To low

5. Was the Syllabus need based?

Strongly Agree Agree Partly Agree disagree

6. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences for job?

Excellent Good average Poor

7. Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. Do you think the syllabus was suitable to acquire the overall knowledge of the subject?

Suitable Partly Suitable Need More Not Sure

Suggestions for further improvements: _____

Bhartiya Seva Sadan Akola's
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College For Women, Akola

Alumni Feedback

Year: 2016-17

Feedback for Curriculum by the Alumni

The objective of this form is to collect constructive feedback for improving facilities and services of the college. Your response will assist the college in its endeavour to strengthen the overall development of students.

Full Name: Ashwini Ajay Bhambhar
Program Name & Year of Completion: B.A. - 13
Occupation: Jr. College Teacher
Email: _____
Contact No.: 9604058161

1. How do you rate the current syllabus in relation to the needs of your profession/ industry?

Excellent Very Good Average Poor

2. How do you find the size of syllabus in terms of load?

Vast Manageable Short Too short

3. How was the syllabus suitable to the course?

Highly Suitable Suitable Partly Suitable Not Suitable

4. Level of the course was?

Too high high low Too low

5. Was the Syllabus need based?

Strongly Agree Agree Partly Agree disagree

6. How do you read the syllabus in relation to the competences for job?

Excellent Good average Poor

7. Do you think there were enough practical hours/ tutorials per week?

Yes No Need More Not Sure

8. Do you think the syllabus was suitable to acquire the overall knowledge of the subject?

Suitable Partly Suitable Need More Not Sure

Suggestions for further improvements: no suggestion



PRINCIPAL
Smt. Radhadevi Goenka College
For Women, AKOLA.